

US-HRAC Herbicide Resistance Management Award
Deadline: October 30

Subcommittee Chair: Scott Senseman
scott.senseman@okstate.edu

WSSA members who have conducted **outstanding Research/Extension** with a **significant impact** on the scientific understanding and/or addressing herbicide resistance issues and promoting best management practices are eligible. Research/Extension groups or initiatives fostering engagement and collaboration among stake holders including growers, industry and public institutions to develop sustainable herbicide resistance management are also eligible. This award is established to recognize outstanding contributions to both applied and basic weed science research on herbicide resistance. The successful nomination should:

- Document an established record for significant accomplishments in research focused on herbicide resistance evolution, proactive resistance delaying technologies, integrated management as reflected by regular publication in refereed journals or extension material [and]
- Demonstrate independence, originality and creativity in applied research contributions in weed science focused on herbicide resistance, herbicide stewardship, and integrated weed management practices. [and]
- Provide evidence of significant on-farm impact by implementing the knowledge/tools/understanding generated or disseminated on herbicide resistance issues and best management practices.

Eligibility

Nominee must be a member of the WSSA and can only win the award once. The nominator is not required to be a member of WSSA.

Nomination Procedure

The nomination package should be emailed to the committee chair and must be complete and formatted as a single pdf file. A complete package consists of a nomination form, a nomination letter (not more than 3 pages), and letters of support. All nominations will be considered for an indefinite period, but annual submission of the entire nomination package is required.

Nomination Package

I. Nomination Form

Nominator: Name, phone number, and email address.

Nominee: Name, title, employer, address, phone number, and email address.

- 1. Education.** (degrees received in chronological order with dates)
- 2. Professional experience.** (in chronological order with job title, employer, place and dates)

(Sections 3 and 4 together should not exceed three pages)

3. Research.

Succinctly describe the research that is the basis of this nomination. Include what was done and the originality and creativity of the research and how it directly links to the issue of herbicide resistance and its management. If this was team research, clearly describe the nominee's role.

4. Research Significance/Impact.

Briefly describe the significance and impact of the research on the scientific concepts related to understanding herbicide resistance issues or practices for delaying herbicide resistance and sustainable management of existing herbicide resistance problems.

5. Extension Significance/Impact.

Briefly describe extension and education activities implemented to carry on awareness and management of herbicide-resistant weeds. Integrated/Sustainable weed management practices/tools developed, number of growers educated/trained to adopt the practice, number of growers who adopted the practices, impact/feedback received.

6. Literature (NOT TO EXCEED TWO PAGES).

Include refereed research articles, books and book chapters, reviews and monographs that best represent the nature, originality and creativity, and significance and impact of the nominee's research program addressing the issue of herbicide resistance and its management. List in chronological order.

7. Other evidence to support the nomination (Should not exceed five pages).

Include honors and awards, grants, invited presentations, popular and other non-refereed publications, sabbatical accomplishments, patents, graduate student research and training, and participation on review panels or other boards related to herbicide resistance research/extension activities.

II. Letters of support

Include up to three letters that support the nomination. This is in addition to the nomination letter. Ask writers to briefly describe the nature, innovation, creativity and significance of the nominee's research program and recognition of the work by peers.